

Spacer Cable vs. Tree Wire: Pros and Cons of two Distinct Construction Options

Brian J. Trager – Director, Tech. & Int'l Rick Simpson – VP Global Sales



A Marmon Wire & Cable /

- Definitions
- Similarities
- Differences
 - Mechanical configuration & support
 - Site prep & maintenance
 - Tree trimming considerations
 - Animal, weather & environmental performance
 - Construction challenges
 - Costing
 - Reliability & Quality of Service
- Summary





Definitions:➢ Tree Wire➢ Spacer Cable





Definitions



Spacer Cable



3 heavily covered conductors supported by a messenger and separated and hung by spacers

Tree Wire



The same heavily covered conductors strung in an **open wire** configuration on cross-arms with polyethylene insulators.



- Heavily covered conductor
- Utilizes a three layer cable design
- Construction using standard (or shortened) crossarms OR armless brackets
- Mounted on polyethylene pin type (or line post) insulators





Tree Wire Systems







Definition: Spacer Cable Systems

Spacer Cable: Heavily covered non-shielded phase conductors held together and supported by a high strength messenger cable, and connected to diamond shaped spacers every 30 feet.





Spacer Cable: Single Phase







What's the same?➢ Tree Wire➢ Spacer Cable





National Electric Safety Code (NESC) Rule 230D:

Covered conductors shall be considered *bare* conductors for all clearance requirements except that spacing between conductors... may be reduced below the requirements for open conductors... when the conductor covering provides sufficient dielectric strength to limit the likelihood of short circuit ...





System components: Conductor design (Identical)



Conductor Design Functionality (identical)

- Allows closer spacing of conductors
- Withstands temporary contact with tree branches and other ground points
- UV stable, tracking and abrasion resistant
- Low surface charging current and high impulse strength

Hendrix



Similarities: Insulation Layers

System components: Insulation layers (Identical)

- Semicon shield over aluminum
 - Smooths out E field
 - Reduces PD
 - Increases BIL
 - Lengthens useful service life
- Inner layer Natural unfilled Polyethylene (HMWPE)
 - Excellent insulation High BIL, 60hz withstand
 - Soft easier to strip
- Outer layer High Density Polyethylene (HDPE)
 - Track resistant
 - Abrasion and impact resistant
 - UV stability and weathering characteristics

Hendrix



Similarities : Insulation Thickness



Voltage Class (KV)	Thickness (inches)		
	Α	В	С
15	.015	.075	.075
25	.015	.125	.125
35	.015	.175	.125
46	.020	.225	.175
69	.020	.250	.250





Benefits in common:

- Both require less foliage removal than bare wire
- Both eliminate temporary faults due to tree contact and incidental animal/bird contact
- Both promote environmental stewardship
 - More foliage, cleaner air
 - Birds, climbing animals protected
- Both are NESC compliant



Differences: Mechanical Configuration and Support





Differences: Mechanical Configuration

Mechanical configuration

Tree Wire:

- Crossarms with Polyethylene Insulators
- Strip at deadends
- Full tension grips (can't use coated preformed grips)

* Pre-formed grip at deadends not rec'd due to extreme tension req'd to grip conductor *over* the insulation (risk of fatigue failure insulation & circumferential cracking due to seasonal expansion/contraction)

System has "bare" spots with attendant risk of temporary faults

Spacer Cable:

- Covered conductors hung from messenger with spacers
- Compact, Narrow ROW Low profile
- Completely covered system









Differences: Conductor Type Used

Tree Wire: Self Supported – All Strength in *Phase Conductor*

- All Aluminum Alloy (AAAC)
- ➢ 6201 T81 aluminum
- Aluminum Conductor Steel Reinforced (ACSR)

Spacer Cable: All Strength is in Messenger

- All Aluminum (AAC)
- ➤ 1350 H19 aluminum
- Benefits:
 - Lower cost
 - Lighter weight
 - Higher ampacity
 - Able to compact reduce diameter, PE used, mechanical profile, loading, poles, guys, etc.





Differences: Conductor Type Used

Notes:

- Possible to use AAC for tree wire
 - Function of conductor size
 - Span length
 - Tensile strength
 - Loading
- Possible to use AAAC or ACSR for spacer cable
 - Mechanically viable
 - Not economical
 - Not beneficial from ampacity standpoint
 - May be beneficial from stocking standpoint



Differences: Tree Trimming, Site Preparation & Maintenance





Differences: Tree Triming





Conventional Bare Wire or Tree Wire



Hendrix Spacer Cable

Differences: Tree Trimming



Right Tree Right Place

Conventional Bare Wire Or Tree Wire



Hendrix Spacer Cable





Differences: Maintenance



	Tree Wire	Spacer Cable
Tree trimming	 Periodic Clear large limbs Keep foliage off phases 	PeriodicClear large limbsKeep foliage off phases
Spare parts	 Conductor Dead-End Grips & Splices Cable Polyethylene Insulators Covered Tie Wire Stripping tool 	 Conductor Dead-End Grips & Splices Cable Polyethylene Insulators Covered Tie Wire Line-Duc Messenger Dead-End Grips & Splices Messenger

Difference – Tree Wire requires more patrolling since, while large limbs lying across phases won't cause outages, they will eventually cause abrasion and possibility of insulation damage





Differences: Costing

Costs: Materials, Installation, Site Preparation and Maintenance

	Cost Comparison to Bare Wire		
	Tree Wire	Spacer Cable	
Material Cost	15% higher than bare wire	25% more than bare @ 15 kV & 10% more than tree wire @ 15 kV; Higher % increase for higher kV classes	
Site Preparation	Same	Significantly less tree removal	
Tree Trimming	Same	50-80% less foliage removal	
Installation	Same	Same or less (depends on crew training)	
Maintenance	Same/More	Less than either bare wire or tree wire	



Differences: Animal, weather, & environmental performance





Differences: Animal Contact Performance

Bird and Animal Contact

Tree Wire:

- Provides temporary fault protection
- Absence of covering at conductor ends poses safety hazard to birds and animals

Spacer Cable:

Completely covered system eliminates safety hazard





Tree Wire: Bird and animal contact



Vulnerability to Component Selection Errors

A Marmon Wire & Cable /



Spacer Cable: Bird and animal contact hazards eliminated or vastly reduced

Spacer Cable: Bird and Animal Contact Wildlife protection Tule Lake National Wildlife Refuge, California

Differences: Environmental Resilience

Spacer Cable: Resilience to seacoast contamination Massachusetts 15kV

Tree Wire:

- Provides temporary fault protection
- Resilient to small tree limbs; however, branches may lie across the conductor, eventually causing abrasion leading to insulation damage, future outages
- Fallen trees or large branches may knock system to ground, resulting in outage
- Conductor breaks before pole

Spacer Cable:

- Considered more robust in extreme weather due to mechanics of design
- Overhead messenger protects the phase conductors from trees/branches
 - Protects line from fallen trees
 - > Keeps trees/branches from causing outages
- Usually fallen tree supported by messenger
- Large impact may cause poles to break
- \succ Line stays energized \rightarrow no outage

Hendrix



Differences: Harsh Weather Performance





69 kV Spacer Cable PEPCo - Washington, DC

Spacer Cable: Banff National Park, Alberta, CANADA

Spacer Cable: ENSA, PANAMA

Differences: Harsh Weather Performance



Hendrix

Spacer Cable: Large tree down, line stayed energized

A Marmon Wire & Cable /

Differences: Harsh Weather Performance



Spacer Cable: Large tree down, line stayed energized

Hendrix
Differences: Harsh Weather Performance





Spacer Cable: McMurdo Bay, Antarctica

A Marmon Wire & Cable /

Empirical Data: Field Study





Differences: Harsh Weather Performance



Hendrix

European Field Study: Spacer Cable vs. Tree Wire vs. Bare Wire in Harsh Weather Conditions— Deadwater Fells Test Site, England/Scotland border

Test Conditions

- 2,000 ft. above sea level
- 330 ft. spans
- Harsh weather conditions
- Sustained wind speeds 50-70 mph
- Wind gusts to 75 mph
- ➤ Temperature dropped to -8 C (19 F)

Field Test Data

- Study duration: 6 months
- ➢ Hazel (60 mm2) AAAC bare
- ➢ 50 mm2 Tree Wire 15 kV
 - 50 mm2 Spacer Cable 15 kV



Data Collection:

Load cells

Load cells monitor tension levels in the conductors. Each conductor is also mounted with a turnbuckle arrangement to enable tensions to be altered easily. This process is carried out from a platform built specifically for the purpose of accessing all the conductor monitors.











Field Study: Tension Data (Blizzard Conditions)

Conductor	Tension on 26 February (kN/lbf)	Tension on 25 February (kN/lbf)	% change
Bare Hazel 60 mm2	3.23 (725)	6.02 (1353)	86%
Tree Wire 50mm2	1.54 (346)	4.90 (1101)	218%
Spacer Cable 50mm2	11.08 (2490)	19.96 (4485)	80%

Change in tensions during the ice/blizzard conditions in Weeks 8/9, 2002

Conductor	Tension on 26 February (kN/lbf)	Tension on 27 February (kN/lbf)	% change	
Bare Hazel 60 mm2	3.23 (725)	6.76 (1519)	110%	
Tree Wire 50mm2	1.54 (346)	5.37 (1207)	249%	
Spacer Cable 50mm2	11.08 (2490)	22.93 (5153)	107%	
Change in tensions during the blizzard conditions in Week 9, 2002				



Deadwater Test Results: Wind Only

Hendrix

Effect of increasing wind severity against percentage load change.



- Spacer cable (triangles) always exhibits the lowest % increase in load
- Bare wire (diamonds) tends to be worsen as winds increase
- Tree Wire (squares) is the most greatly affected by the wind

This may be expected as the tree wire has the largest size/weight ratio

Deadwater Test Results: Effect of snow/ice against percentage load change



Figure 4.2 Snow/ice effects on conductor loads



- Spacer Cable (lower yellow line) is always the best performer
- In most cases it is only slightly better than the bare wire (middle blue line)
- **Hendrix** Tree Wire (top line) is always worst, and is substantially the worst performer under the most severe conditions.

These graphs have tried to summarise the data in a simple graphical form. However, looking at the data generally some conclusions can be drawn:

- The Hendrix Spacer Cable has performed extremely well in very severe conditions. It has had to withstand wind gusts of hurricane force as well as severe snow and ice incidents.
- In comparison with Tree Wire System, the Hendrix Spacer Cable has accreted less snow/ice and suffered less from wind loads, especially as the weather conditions got worse.
- In comparison with bare Hazel conductor, the Hendrix Spacer Cable has generally performed better under all scenarios.





Animal Contact, Weather & Environmental Performance

	Tree Wire	Spacer Cable
Animal contact	Vulnerable at stripped points	Eliminates or vastly reduces hazard
High winds	✓	✓
Snow/Ice		





Differences:Construction Challenges





Differences: Construction Challenges



Reduced Right-of-Way (ROW) Construction

Tree Wire:

- > Only **Bare Wire** alternative is to build the line above the roofline
- ➢ Requires 12.5' clearance above the roofline
- Unreasonable pole heights
- Maintenance Issues
- Safety issues
- Impossible with tall buildings

Spacer Cable:

Revision to NESC; IEEE C2-1997, footnote to Table 234-1: clearance may be reduced by 2 ft. provided the wires, conductors, or cables, including splices and taps, and unguarded rigid live parts have a covering that provides sufficient dielectric strength to limit the likelihood of a short circuit in case of momentary contact with a structure or building.



Spacer Cable: Reduced ROW



5.5' required for covered conductor allows 3' width

Sufficient for 5kV – 35kV construction with spacer cable

Hendrix



Spacer Cable: Reduced ROW



Hendrix

5kV to 15kV Conversion: Costly with UG; Bare Wire requires prohibitively tall poles

A Marmon Wire & Cable /

Spacer Cable: Reduced ROW



Hendrix

Spacer Cable provides a clean and economical solution (and satisfies NESC)

Tree Wire: Reduced ROW

10230253 Tree Wire in H reduced ROW

Differences: Reduced Right of Way



Spacer Cable Substation Exit in a reduced residential ROW





Long Spans

Tree Wire:

- Long crossings have weight issue
- Requires heavier poles
- Benefits compared to bare wire since conductor clashing is no longer an issue

- > All strength is in messenger
- No limit to crossing length
- The longer the crossing, the greater the benefit of spacer cable compared with tree wire (or bare wire)





Differences: Long Spans

Spacer Cable: Long Span Massachusetts – 200m crossing Seacoast application

Spacer Cable: River Crossings

- > 520m River Crossing White River Indiana
- Option 1 submarine cable cost \$1MM
- Option 2 build around shoreline cost \$800k
- Option 3 Spacer cable cost \$75k

Spacer Cable especially viable for river crossings with multiple circuits

WALL VERY

Edmunston, NB River Crossing

The A

Multiple Circuit Configurations

Tree Wire:

2 circuits/pole

Spacer Cable:

> No limit to # circuits on a single pole

Transmission line underbuild

Distribution line overbuild

Substation getaway





Tree Wire: Multiple Circuit Limitations













69kV with 25kV underbuild National Forest CHILE, S. America





Berkshire Hathaway Company

Spacer Cable: Storm Hardening

Flex Bracket avoids pole breaks

Differences: Construction Challenges

The	T
A STATE	X

	Tree Wire	Spacer Cable
Reduced Right-Of- Way	More compact & allow closer clearances than bare wire	Still more compact than tree wire
Long spans	Better than bare wire (no clashing)	More compact (1 pole) and more reliable (no clashing)
Multiple circuits	2/pole limit	No limit # circuits/pole
Storm Hardening	Difficult – weak link is conductor, insulator, pole	Options – Flex Bracket (avoid pole breaks), heavy messenger, heavy pole, or combination



Differences:Quality of Service





Voltage Regulation

Tree Wire:

Same as bare wire

- Closeness of phases reduces mutual inductance
- Total inductance is reduced
- Total impedance reduced by 15-20%
- Reduced voltage drop by 15-20% compared to bare or tree wire
- Reduced need for switched capacitors, voltage regulators
- Better end-of-line voltage on voltage-limited long feeders
- Higher power factor





X

Restoration Time

Tree Wire:

- > Faster restoration time for faults involving pole failures
- Safety/Reliability concerns when conductor is exposed to long term abrasion (e.g. fallen tree limb in contact with phase conductors)

- Increased reliability reduces maintenance demands
- > For extremely large/heavy tree, result may be pole break





Reliability and associated costs

Tree Wire:

➤ Reliability indices (SAIDI, SAIFI, CAIDI, etc.) favorable

- > Reliability indices (SAIDI, SAIFI, CAIDI, etc.) superior
- Reduced outages
- Reduced loss of revenue for outages
- Reduced trouble crews and Operations & Maintenance costs
- Greater regulatory compliance and penalty avoidance





What is Cost of Reliability?

- Sending crews to find faults
- Lost revenue
- Public relations
- > PUC SAIDI/SAIFI/CAIDI financial penalties
- Loss of industrial load
- Inability to attract industry
- > Loss of critical loads (Hospital, Data Center, etc.)










Differences: Quality of Service

Quality of Service

	Tree Wire	Spacer Cable
Voltage regulation	Same as bare wire	15-20 % improvement
Surge protection	Worse than bare wire (open at deadends)	Improved over bare wire or tree wire (closed system, high BIL)
Restoration time	Same as bare wire	Takes longer if pole broken
Reliability and associated costs	Better than bare wire	Better than both bare wire and tree wire





Summary





Both Spacer Cable and Tree Wire are adaptable and suitable to a wide range of application areas

Selection between the two options must weigh multiple considerations

➤Table which follows highlights the choice that will, in general, give optimal results per criterion





Spacer Cable vs. Tree Wire: Suitability

1 Martine	5
(CIT)	-
No.	

Considerations	Tree Wire	Spacer Cable
Minimal install time/cost	✓	✓
Inaccessible terrain	✓	✓
Heavily treed		~
Animal contact		~
Environmental contamination	~	✓
Harsh weather		✓
Restricted Right-of-Way	✓	✓
Long spans		✓
Multiple circuits		
Storm restoration time	\checkmark	
Storm Hardening		
Voltage Regulation		
Overall Quality of Service		✓
		Berkshire Hathaway Company













